

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3177 of 2014
DATE OF DECISION :- February 20, 2020**

Subhash Gahlot and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Y.S. Rathore, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepinder Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition granting him liberty to raise all the pleas before the trial Court at an appropriate stage by moving an application. He further submits that since petitioners Subhash Gahlot and Smt. Kamlesh are aged persons, therefore, the trial Court be directed to exempt their personal appearance.

Permission is granted. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

It is directed that on an application being moved by such

petitioners through counsel, the trial Court would exempt personal appearance of such petitioners allowing them to be represented by their counsel on giving an undertaking that they shall not dispute their identity and shall not seek any adjournment for the said reason and counsel shall cross examine the PWs on their behalf and further they shall appear in the Court as and when so directed by the Court.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

February 20, 2020
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No