

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

246 (1)

CRM-M-31386 of 2017

Date of decision : 10.02.2020

Tekchand Sharma @ Tikaram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : None for the petitioner.
Ms.Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.J.S.Hooda, Advocate for
Mr.Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for the respondents.

H.S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel informs that as per her instructions, petitioner, Tek Chand Sharma who is also complainant in this case has filed a protest petition against the cancellation report prepared and filed in the trial court by the local police.

In that way, petitioner is taking legal recourse to the remedy available to him under law. The trial Court would take decision as to whether the cancellation report is to be accepted or by allowing the protest petition, a direction is to be issued to the Investigating Agency to further investigate the matter or in the alternate, it is to be treated as a private complaint.

Under these circumstances, the present petition has become infructuous and is dismissed, accordingly.

**[H.S. MADAAN]
JUDGE**

10.02.2020
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No