202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16524 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 16.12.2020

Vashist Mishra and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr.Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Dr. Sukant Gupta, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.164 dated 30.11.2019 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, registered at Police Station : Women Police Station, Chandigarh, U.T., Chandigarh.

The operative part of the order dated 25.06.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

> ***"....****Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the parents-in-law of the complainant and they were granted the concession of interim bail by the Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 18.3.2020.*
>
> *Counsel for the petitioners further submits that, however, on account of COVID-19 situation, they, being aged about 50 years, could not join the investigation and are ready to join the investigation.*

MOHD YAKUB
2020.12.16 15:28
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, Additional P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Learned Counsel appearing for the U.T., Chandigarh submits that the husband of the complainant (son of the petitioner) was arrested and during his remand, some dowry articles were recovered. He has already been granted the concession of regular bail. He further submits that recovery of some more articles is yet to be effected....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 25.06.2020, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, and assisted by counsel for the complainant has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation. It is also submitted that both the petitioners, who are parents-in-law have joined the investigation and some dowry articles have also been recovered.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 25.06.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.12.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

MOHD YAKUB
2020.12.16 15:28
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh