

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8622 of 2020
Date of Decision: 26.06.2020

Som Nath

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Vinod K. Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India prayer has been made for issuance of writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to decide complaint (Annexures P-2 and P-3) in accordance with law.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, but without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur, to look into representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

June 26, 2020
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No