

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4055-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.07.2020

Sushila Devi & Anr.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Dhruv Dyal, Sr.DAG, Punjab

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioners, namely, Sushila Devi (19 years) and Manish (20 years), have filed the present petition *inter alia* praying for a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 12 from harassing or interfering in the life of the petitioners.

Record of the case shows that on 23.06.2020, this Court passed the following order:-

“Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG, Punjab is directed to find out the existence of alleged threat. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply requisite sets of paper book to him through e-mail, during the course of the day.”

Learned State counsel has submitted that in para 3 of the short reply filed by the official respondents, it has been stated that *“at present there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 12 as revealed during the enquiry. In future, if*

the petitioners apprehend any danger and approach the police, the police

will provide necessary protection to the petitioners if the situation so warrants”.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for. However, in case the petitioners still have any grievance or threat perception, they may approach the authorities concerned who will look into the same in view of the above undertaking given by the official respondents before this Court.

Disposed of.

08.07.2020

vishal shonkar

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge