

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4024 of 2020
Date of Decision: 29.06.2020

Sanjeev Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Parmeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

[1] The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] The present *Habeas Corpus* petition was filed by one Sanjeev Singh aged about 19 years son of Sh. Joginder Singh resident of Village Dhulgarh, Kurukshetra, Haryana for release of detenue namely Rinkle.

[3] On 19th June, 2020 following order was passed:-

“This petition has been preferred by Sanjeev Singh under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, directing respondents No.1 to 3 to appoint a warrant officer to locate detenue, namely, Rinkle, and to get her released from the illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 7.

Notice of motion to respondents-State only, at this stage, for 29.06.2020.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

In the meantime, Registry is directed to appoint a Warrant Officer, who shall accompany the petitioner to visit the place(s) as pointed out by the petitioner to find out the detenue. In case, the alleged detenue is found in illegal confinement, the Warrant Officer shall ensure her release forthwith. The Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra and the concerned Station House Officer are directed to provide necessary police help to the Warrant Officer, if need be. The Warrant Officer shall submit his report on or before the next date of hearing.”

[4] The warrant officer has filed his report that statement of Rinkle was recorded wherein it was stated that she was living in live-in relation with Sanjeev Singh but now she does not want to stay with him and is residing with her parents with her own wish and without any pressure.

[5] In view of the report received, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition. Disposed of as infructuous.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

June 29, 2020

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes/ No