IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8514-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.06.2020

Basti Ram Yadav & others --Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another --Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

***

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

As per pleadings on record, the five petitioners herein had served on the post of Associate Professor/Principal etc. under the Higher Education Department, State of Haryana and are now retired.

A Writ of Mandamus is sought in terms of filing the instant writ petition for grant of benefit of ad hoc service rendered by the petitioners towards seniority along with all consequential/monetary benefits.

Instant petition had come up for hearing on 23.6.2020 and at that point of time Mr. Gaurav Jindal learned Addl. A.G., Haryana had been called upon to complete instructions with regard to the assertions made by the counsel that the relief sought in the instant petition has already been granted to identically situated employees and that too upon the issue having been settled up to the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

During the course of resumed hearing today learned State counsel has conceded that the relief sought for in the instant petition has

LUCKY
2020.06.25 14:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

already been released in favour of identically situated employees. He, however, expresses his helplessness on account of the current lock down/Covid-2019 situation.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1/competent authority to look into the claim of the petitioners and to take a final decision thereupon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In case the petitioners are held entitled to the relief sought, the necessary and consequential monetary benefits would be made over to the petitioners without any further delay. However, in the eventuality of the petitioners' claim not finding favour, respondent no.1 would then pass a detailed reasoned order for taking such view and would convey the same to the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

25.06.2020
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

LUCKY
2020.06.25 14:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document