

In virtual Court

COCP-1133-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-1133-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2020

Rameshwar Dass Goel and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Siddhi Nath Roy and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.K. Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for taking action against the respondents for willful and intentional disobedience of the order dated 18.10.2019 passed in CWP-30194-2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed CWP-30194-20149 with a prayer that they be promoted to the post of Superintending Engineer and Chief Engineer, though their juniors have been promoted.

A perusal of the order dated 18.10.2019 would show that while disposing of the aforesaid writ petition, a direction was issued to respondents No.1 & 2 to implement the order dated 14/15.03.2019 in letter and spirit within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In virtual Court

COCP-1133-2020

-2-

Perusal of the order dated 14/15.03.2019 shows that it was directed that keeping in view the position “if approved”, as per record, case of Sanjay Kumar, R.D. Goel and Hari Krishan Sharma be considered/deemed date of promotion be given to the post of M.E. and then Executive Engineer and further as Superintending Engineer.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Siddhi Nath Roy, Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Urban Local Bodies Department is on record and as per this reply, claim of the petitioners was duly considered and was declined on 25.08.2020. The copies of the orders, declining the claim of the petitioners, are annexed with this reply as Annexures R-1 and R-2. The reason given is that the petitioners have taken admission for doing AMIE in the month of July, 2005 and recommendation was made on 21.11.2005 and on that date, the petitioners were not holding the requisite academic qualification.

In view of the above, no further action is required in this contempt petition.

Disposed of.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to file the writ petition for redressal of their grievance, if any.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

02.09.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No