

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16806-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:28.07.2020**

Sukhwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Singh Luthra, Advocate and
Mr. Jaswinder Singh Nanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

CRM-16399-2020

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Application is allowed as prayed for. The accompanying Riot Victim Red Card is taken on record as Annexure P-6.

Application disposed of.

Main case

As per averments on record, petitioner claims himself to be a riot victim. In support of such assertion the document placed on record at Annexure P-6 has been referred to.

Grievance raised in the instant petition is that the private respondents No.5 and 6 have prepared false and forged document and in connivance with the other have got allotted one commercial shop in the name of Rajinder Pal Singh.

It has further been pleaded that respondent No.7 Surjit Singh, is the President of Danga Peerit Welfare Society and rather than assisting the petitioner herein who is a genuine riot victim, the aforesaid President of the Danga Peerit Welfare Society is indulging in such illegal and nefarious activities.

Counsel submits that a representation/application has already been made to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and he would be satisfied if the directions were to be issued to consider the same.

At this stage, Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, learned Senior DAG, Punjab, upon instructions from SI Rajan Dev Singh informs the Court that the application/representation has already been considered and has been filed.

Counsels for the petitioner joins issue and submits that the representation of the petitioner could not have been decided without associating the petitioner and affording to him an opportunity of hearing.

Be that as it may the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, was certainly obligated to communicate to the petitioner a final decision taken on the application/representation filed by the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, learned Sr. DAG, Punjab, undertakes that a copy of the order passed by the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana would be obligated to the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

Writ petition is disposed of.

Needless to observe that it would always be open for the petitioner to assail the order passed by the Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana on all grounds that may be available in accordance with law.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

July 28, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No