

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.124

CWP-8441-2020

Date of decision: 22.06.2020

Pushpa Rani

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 13.08.2018 (Annexure P-4), submitted by the petitioner.

Learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondents and has no objection if the above prayer is allowed.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 13.08.2018 (Annexure P-4), submitted by the petitioner within a week, by passing a speaking order and after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

June 22, 2020

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No