

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16062 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 25.09.2020

Loveneet Singh @ Love

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 109 dated 05.06.2019, registered under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later) at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

The operative part of the order dated 22.06.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially the FIR was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506 and 34 IPC and the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC was added subsequently on 12.06.2019. He submits that the petitioner was allegedly armed with baseball bat who had caused simple injury on the left arm of the injured. He has explained that though the application for grant of pre-arrest bail was dismissed by the Court of Sessions in

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

October, 2019 and thereafter, the parties were exploring the chances of compromise as there is another case registered at the instance of co-accused of the petitioner against the complainant-Balbir Singh through FIR No.87 dated 07.04.2018 under Sections 325, 323, 148 and 149 IPC.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 22.06.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Amandeep Kaur, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 22.06.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

25.09.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No