

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16052-2020
Date of decision-22.06.2020**

Naveen Kumar Saxena and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C for grant of blanket anticipatory bail.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that there is no cognizance taken by Police so far on the alleged complaint of respondent No.2, as no FIR has been registered till date. Even otherwise, as per the pleadings, the alleged dispute relates to the loan agreement taken from the Bank by respondent No.2 and the petitioner No.1 being husband of the borrower stood as guarantor. It is pointed out that the borrower is not paying the installments and therefore, she may falsely implicate the guarantor.

This Court does not find any reason to accept the argument particularly when in the given facts the cause of action to lodge any case may accrue with the Bank and not with the borrower.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to extend blanket

anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.06.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No