

CRWP-4000-2020

Date of decision: 22.06.2020

NAVNEET KAUR AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

It has been stated that both the petitioners have attained the age for a valid marriage. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 17.06.2020. Copy of marriage certificate dated 17.06.2020 (Annexure P-3) and photographs dated 17.06.2020 (Annexure P-4) are on record. The petitioners are stated to be residing in District Sangrur. Apprehending danger to their lives, at the instance of private respondents who are the relatives of the petitioners, the present petition has been instituted. The allegations are also substantiated with the affidavits of both the petitioners. At the oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the Senior Superintendent of police is impleaded as party in the matter.

Notice of motion to official respondents.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day through email.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior

Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to look into the matter and if he finds that apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners is real, he shall take appropriate steps to ensure their protection as he feels necessary, in such circumstances of the case.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage or any civil or criminal proceedings.

22.06.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No