

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-15969-2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 06.07.2020

PURAN SINGH

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kawalpreet Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.128 dated 02.05.2020, under Sections 18/27-A/31 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that 82 grams of opium was allegedly recovered from the petitioner. He further states that the petitioner was also falsely implicated in another case under the NDPS Act for possession of non-commercial quantity but he is on bail in that case. Learned counsel has also relied upon the judgments of this Court in Amarjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, CRM-M-27191 of 2018, decided on 24.08.2018, Manpreet Singh @ Mani Vs. State of Punjab, CRM-M-37060 of 2018, decided on 14.12.2018 and Hoshiar Singh Vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M-178 of 2014, decided on 25.04.2014 wherein the petitioners were also involved in another case pertaining to possession of non-commercial quantity and had

been granted concession of regular bail. He further contends that the petitioner is 57 years of age and he is in custody for over 2 months.

Learned State counsel contends that although challan has been filed but FSL report is still awaited.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is 57 years of age, he is in custody for over 2 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that if in the FSL report, the substance is found to be any substance of commercial quantity, the petitioner shall forthwith surrender before the trial Court.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.07.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No