

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15991 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision.06.07.2020
(Heard through VC)

Manish

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.182 dated 03.09.2019 under Sections 302, 34, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that name of the petitioner has been implicated in the aforementioned FIR on the disclosure statement of co-accused namely Shri Bhagwan. Another co-accused Sumit, who has been attributed similar role, has been granted regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 04.03.2020. The petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2019. The challan has already been presented and there is nothing to be recovered from the petitioner, therefore, he is entitled to grant of concession of bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State opposes the regular bail, who argues that no ground is made out for concession of regular bail as the Ritz car allegedly used by the accused persons in commission of offence has been recovered from the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The co-accused Sumit has already been granted regular bail by the trial court vide order dated 04.03.2020. The challan has already been presented and there is nothing to be recovered from the petitioner. The trial is likely to take some time to conclude as due to COVID-19 situation, Courts are not working at their full strength and not taking up regular hearing matters and therefore, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/ surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 06, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No