

231.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30108-2017**

Date of decision: 08.01.2020

AMARJIT KAUR ALIAS AMANDEEP KAUR

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate,  
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Shaurya Punj, Advocate, for  
Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate,  
for respondents No.5 and 6.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to take action against respondents No.4 and 7 into the complaint submitted by petitioner (Annexure P-1).

*Vakalatnama* filed on behalf of respondent No.4 in court today, is taken on record.

The petitioner-Amarjit Kaur is married with Hardeep Singh-respondent No.5, whereas respondent No.6-Pardeep Singh is her brother-in-law.

Learned State counsel, while referring to the status report filed by way of an affidavit of Varinderpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, (HQ), Batala, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, submits that apart from the fact that the matter was compromised between the parties on 24.04.2017 (Annexure R-1/T), the allegations were also looked into. As per the report of the Enquiry Officer which is based upon discreet and open enquiry, the petitioner-Amarjit Kaur @ Amandeep Kaur daughter of Chanan Singh, was married with Hardeep Singh (respondent No.5) on 10.06.2011 and out of this wedlock, a son, namely, Prabhjot Singh, was born, who is living with the petitioner. After marriage, there was an altercation between the two and accordingly, the parties have taken divorce in the year, 2014 before the panchayat. The petitioner has taken Rs.50,000/- from Hardeep Singh being the expenditure on the marriage. However, after living separately for about 1 ½ year, the petitioner and respondent No.5-Hardeep Singh again started living together as husband and wife in Village Sallo Chahal. Again misunderstanding developed between the parties and the petitioner started living separately from her husband Hardeep Singh. On 24.04.2017, petitioner had filed a complaint in Police Station Ranger Nangal against her husband for getting dowry articles. However, after receiving Rs.25,000/-, she made a compromise before the respectables. During that period, the petitioner had not made any allegations against her husband Hardeep Singh, Pardeep Singh (brother-in-law) and the neighbour Satnam Singh regarding making illegal relationship forcibly or committing rape upon her. The allegations against Inspector Mohan Singh, the then SHO, Police Station Ranger Nangal for making physical relationship with the

petitioner, were also found to be false as he remained posted as SHO, Police Station Ranger Nangal from 07.12.2016 to 28.03.2017. The petitioner made the allegations against the SHO after two months, though by that time he already stood transferred. Thus, no substance was found in the allegations against the accused.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of present petition so as to avail the remedy(s) as available under law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

08.01.2020  
sanjeev

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |