

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-15926-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 6.7.2020

Santosh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana

*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court)*

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking grant of regular bail in a case registered against him vide FIR No.400, dated 19.10.2019, Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, under Sections 279, 336, 337, 427 IPC wherein offences under Sections 307 and 120-B IPC were added lateron.

2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Sonia wherein it is alleged that on 18.10.2019 when she was returning home from work on her scooty, then a car which was being driven rashly and negligently and at a high speed hit her from the rear side and as a result of which she fell down and sustained injuries on her neck and waist. It is alleged that the said car which was a white coloured Toyota bearing registration No.HR-06M-9909, sped away after hitting the complainant.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the present case on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly made by co-accused Hardeep Singh husband of the complainant.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that during the course of investigation the call details along with tower details had been collected and which would show the complicity of the petitioner. It has however, been informed that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last more than 7 months.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last more than 7 months and challan already stands presented, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time.
6. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to

the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty
Magistrate concerned.

July 6, 2020
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No