

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-15909-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.07.2020.

Narain Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.196 dated 23.05.2019, under Sections 302, 452, 109 read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Rai, Sonapat, district Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no injury is attributed to the petitioner who is 70 years of age. It is alleged in the FIR that the sons of the petitioner, namely, Dharamvir and Davinder, had fired gun shots at the deceased as he was stated to be harassing the daughter of the petitioner even after her marriage. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over a year.

Learned State counsel contends that none of the 23 prosecution witnesses has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for

the petitioner, especially when no injury is attributed to the petitioner; he is 70

years of age; he is in custody for over a year; the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 06, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No