

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15626-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:11.08.2020

Monu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate for the complainant.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.32, dated 11.02.2019, under Sections 415/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Bhondsi.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

Case in a nutshell of the prosecution is that the complainant had been duped of a sum of Rs.40 lakhs by the accused/petitioner for getting him some farm land. Rs.10 lakhs is alleged to have been transferred in the account of the petitioner by way of RTGS.

Petitioner was arrested on 04.01.2020.

Investigation in the case is complete and the challan has already been presented.

Trial is at the initial stage and would take time to conclude particularly keeping in view the current Covid-19 situation.

Case of the prosecution hinges on documentary evidence.

It is not the case made out that the benefit of bail, if granted to the petitioner, such concession would be misused and a free and fair trial would be hampered.

Without making any observations on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

11.08.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |