

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8329 of 2020

Date of Decision: 19.06.2020

Rajwant Singh & Ors.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. JS Mohri, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner Rajwant Singh along with 19 others have filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer to direct the respondents to count the daily wage service as Special Police Officers, rendered by them before their respective regularization as 'qualifying service' for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

(2) Learned counsel for the petitioners places reliance on (i) CWP No.2371 of 2010 (Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab & Ors.) decided on 31.08.2010; (ii) CWP No.9936 of 2017 (Sukhjeet Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors.) decided on 15.02.2018; and (iii) CWP No.7127 of 2019 (Jarnail Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors.) decided on 01.04.2019 (P4, P7 & P8, respectively).

(3) Notice of motion.

(4) On the asking, Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab accepts notice through video conferencing.

(5) Counsel then submits that for the same/similar relief, the petitioner had submitted a legal notice dated 18.03.2020 (P11).

(6) Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ

grievance raised by the petitioner in the legal notice and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of 5 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(7) Considering the present situation due to Covid-19, learned State counsel and the Registry is directed to send an email to the concerned authorities for necessary compliance.

(8) So ordered.

19.06.2020

Vvishal

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*

Yes/No

2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No