

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRWP No.3927 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: June 19, 2020

Diya and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

Petitioner No.1 is aged 17 ½ years whereas, petition No.2 is aged 25 years. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. They have placed on record photographs of their marriage (Annexure P/3) evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 15.06.2020 (Annexure P/4) to respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana, who is present through the medium of Video Conferencing, accepts notice for the official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners is directed to send a complete copy of the paper book on whatsapp number of the counsel

appearing for the State during the course of the day.

At this stage, Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Advocate, who is present through the medium of Video Conferencing, puts in appearance on behalf of private respondents No.4 to 6.

I have heard counsel for the parties and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of this petition with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P/4) within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. Respondent No.2 is also directed to arrange for counselling of petitioner No.1 and private respondents No.4 to 6 before the responsible police officer, where the private respondents are ready to furnish their affidavit to the effect that they will not cause any harm to the person of the petitioners in manner. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any committed by them.

June 19, 2020

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No