

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP 8233 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 08.09.2020

Rajesh KumarPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.
Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate, for respondent No.10.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for both the parties, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing.

In the present case it is informed that fresh tender proceedings have been undertaken by the respondents in which three persons had applied but as all the three were found to be technically disqualified, the tender has since been cancelled. It is also stated that in the said tender proceedings, respondent No.10 had not participated.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 2 to 9 submits that on the stay being vacated by this Court the respondents would immediately take up steps for calling fresh tenders and complete the process within a month.

In view of the aforesaid statement of the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing survives in the petition and it may be dismissed as infructuous.

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

08.09.2020
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No