

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

205

**CRM-M-15818-2020 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 09.07.2020.**

Hari Om alias Har Ram

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Hari Om alias Har Ram** in case F.I.R. No.550 dated 26.09.2019 under Section 379-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 07.11.2019 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. Learned counsel has pointed out that alleged injuries sustained by victim are simple in nature. He further urges that co-accused namely Rohit and Lakshay have already been released on bail by Trial Court, vide orders dated 26.12.2019 and 05.02.2020 respectively, whereas co-accused Rishab has been granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 20.05.2020 passed in CRM-M-7867-2020.

commenced. He further urges that since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 07.11.2019; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that challan has already been presented in Court and since consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Hari Om alias Har Ram** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

09.07.2020

jitender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No