

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15489 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30.07.2020

JASVIR SINGH @ SONI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep, D.A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.114 dated 26.05.2020 registered under Section 61/1/14 of the Excise Act at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur.

On 17.06.2020, following order was passed by this Court:-

*"This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.*

*It is inter alia submitted that the petitioner has*

*been involved in FIR No. 114, dated 26.05.2020, under Section 61/1/14 of Excise Act, Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur, merely due to political vendetta. Recovery of 96 bottles of country made liquor from the petitioner's residence, is contested.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Advance copy of the petition stands served upon the State.*

*At request, adjourned to 30.07.2020.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required and shall fully cooperate in the investigation of this case. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall comply with the conditions as stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ajaib Singh admitted the aforesaid fact and submitted that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation of the case.

In view of observations made in the order dated 17.06.2020, it would be just and appropriate to confirm the interim bail. Consequently, the interim order dated 17.06.2020 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when directed to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| July 30, 2020             | (RAJ MOHAN SINGH) |
| Atik                      | JUDGE             |
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No            |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No            |