

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15477 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:28.07.2020**

Paramjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. DAG., Punjab.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.56 dated 28.05.2020, under Sections 323, 325, 326, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala.

Contentions on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner as noted while issuing notice of motion on 17.06.2020, are reproduced as hereunder:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is false implication of the petitioner in this case. Even if the allegations in the FIR are to be accepted, though specifically denied, the petitioner is attributed with a blow on the finger of the right hand of the complainant with a wooden stick. Moreover, there is an unexplained delay of 6 days in lodging of the FIR.”

It is submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and she undertakes to fully cooperate with the investigating agency and not abuse the concession of anticipatory bail, if afforded to her. It is thus prayed

that this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or she is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before Court, if granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 17.06.2020, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

28.07.2020
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No.