

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29059-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.01.2020

MANASI BHARGAVA

.. Petitioner

Vs.

HEENA HABIB

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sartaj S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saqib Ali Khan, Advocate for the respondent.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the criminal complaint No.466 dated 10.10.2014 titled as *Heena Habib Vs. Dr. Manasi Bhargava*, under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act (Annexure P-10) and order dated 29.10.2014 (Annexure P-16) passed by Id. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malerkotla, whereby the petitioner has been summoned for commission of an offence under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the petition, the parties have arrived at a compromise on 23.01.2020. He has produced a copy of the said compromise, which is signed by Manasi Bhargava (petitioner) and Heena Habib (respondent). The said compromise is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant does not dispute this fact and states that indeed the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. He further states that the complainant would

withdraw her complaint, which is pending before the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that his client would also withdraw the complaint titled as *Mansi Bhargava Vs. Heena Habib and others* under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 471, 383 and 34 IPC against party No.2, which is pending before the Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Mazgaon, Mumbai.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and wishes to withdraw the same.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

23.01.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No