

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

CRM-M-15440-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.07.2020

VIVEK

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Vivek, accused in FIR No.72 dated 09.11.2019 registered at Police Station City HSIIDC Bahri, District Sonapat for offence punishable under Sections 379-A IPC and 25 of the Arms Act (offence under Sections 392 and 397 IPC added later) prays for grant of regular bail.

Heard.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana, appears on behalf of State of Haryana.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that petitioner has been indicted in the crime on the basis of disclosure statement made by one Sudhir, arrested in other criminal case. It is further argued that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. He is in custody since 20.04.2020 and no longer required for the purpose of investigation. He is ready to face trial without any default.

Counsel for the State of Haryana has not disputed correctness of factual submissions but opposed the prayer for grant of bail. However, on

instructions from ASI Sushil Kumar, she would state that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner.

On completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court and conclusion of trial is likely to take some time. There are no allegations that petitioner is likely to flee from process of justice in case enlarged on bail.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case, bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

(i)He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(ii)He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

01.07.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No