

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-15549-2020

Date of decision : 17.06.2020.

Sunita Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents to investigate and take appropriate action in FIR No.0195 dated 12.05.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 452 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been injured in the instant FIR and the police is not properly investigating the matter.

A perusal of the petition indicates that the petitioner has not made an application or representation to any police official with regard to her prayer in this petition.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner shall be making a representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal, who be directed to consider the same and take appropriate action thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of the petition to learned State counsel through e-mail during the course of the day.

Heard through video conferencing.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal that in the event of a representation being made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and action, if necessary, be taken in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

June 17, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No