

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3892-2020

Date of Decision :02.07.2020

Sukhjinder Singh @ Sukha and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Sullar, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Soft copy of the reply filed by the Inspector General of Prisons, Punjab, Chandigarh has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners as well as to the Court through the Administrator of the Group. Learned State counsel undertakes to file hard copy of the reply in the Registry during the course of the day.
3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions from the petitioners states that the petitioners are satisfied with the inquiry conducted by the Inspector General of Prisons, Punjab, Chandigarh and assurance of action to be taken pursuant thereto. Learned counsel by referring to para 9 of the reply dated 30.06.2020 filed by Sh. Roop Kumar, Inspector General of Prisons, Punjab, Chandigarh states that in view of the explanation of Sh. Karanjit Singh Sandhu, Superintendent Jail,

Central Jail, Patiala, Prempal, Assistant Superintendent, Central Jail, Patiala and Nishan Singh, Head Warder, Central Jail, Patiala having been called for and assurance having been given by the said officials for carrying out their duties in accordance with law, the petitioners are no longer interested in pursuing the writ petition and would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the official respondents to take action in accordance with law after considering the explanation of the aforementioned officials and on the basis of enquiry conducted by the DIG Jails, Punjab.

4. Learned DAG states that on receipt of explanation of the officials named above, action, as warranted in accordance with law, would be taken in the matter expeditiously and it would also be ensured that there is no repetition of the incident as is the subject matter of the instant petition.

5. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the CrI. Writ petition is disposed of by directing the competent authority to take action as may be warranted in accordance with law on receipt of explanation of the officials named above and also to ensure that there is no repetition of the incident as is the subject matter of the instant petition, in future. Compliance report be submitted in the registry w.r.t. action taken.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.07.2020

rajesh/ami

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No