

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15432-2020

Date of Decision: 24.08.2020

Samandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1]. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the last order, his client has already joined the investigation.

[2]. Ld. State counsel, on instructions, from A.S.I. Sube Singh, concedes to this part of the petitioner's submission but also states that the rifle and the vehicles allegedly used in the occurrence are yet to be recovered.

[3]. Faced with this, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that actually no occurrence as described in the FIR had taken place and there is no question of recovery of any such rifle or vehicles, since admittedly no one was injured by any fire-arm nor there is anything to indicate that any cartridge shells were found from the spot. Even the numbers of the vehicles mentioned in the FIR are incomplete and misleading.

[4]. In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner at this stage, is not called for. Consequently, the interim bail granted to him earlier, is, hereby, confirmed.

[5]. Disposed off.

24.08.2020

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Bhumika