

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15266-2020

Date of Decision: 30.06.2020

Buta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. DS Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner-Buta, has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 43 dated 16.03.2020 registered under Section 392 IPC (Sections 395 and 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

According to prosecution, on 16.03.2020 around 9.00 PM, petitioner along with his accomplice snatched cash amount of ₹45,000/- and bag containing ledger book from complainant-Mahinder Lal.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that petitioner was arrested on the disclosure statement of co-accused-Vakil, which is a very weak type of evidence. No recovery has to be effected from him.

Petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2020. Conclusion of trial may take a

long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining him in jail.
No other case has been registered against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently
opposed the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the rival
submissions, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner,
inasmuch as, he had committed very heinous crime of robbery/dacoity.

Dismissed.

June 30, 2020
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No