

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.28618 of 2017(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- February 03, 2020.**

Santosh Kamal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr.Bhishm Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Nitish Garg, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents No. 4 to 7 and filed vakalatnama on their behalf, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has informed the Court that no inquiry against the petitioners is being conducted by respondent No.3, i.e. Superintendant of Police (Traffic), Patiala.

It being so, the present petition has become infructuous and is dismissed accordingly.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

February 03, 2020

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No