

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

CWP No.8084 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: June 12, 2020

VIKASH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner applied for the post of J.E. (Civil) in the BCA category, however, he was not called for the interview. His contention is that according to the answer key uploaded by respondent No.2, the petitioner should have scored 61 marks and the cut off in his category was 58 marks, therefore, the action of the respondents in not calling him for the interview was illegal.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents and submits that the contention of the petitioner is incorrect. OMR sheet of the petitioner was smudged and according to the law settled by the Supreme Court, a smudged answer-sheet is not entitled to be evaluated. The candidature of the petitioner was rightly rejected.

4. A copy of the OMR sheet has been placed on record as Annexure P-6 and the contention of the learned State counsel is borne out therefrom. Thus, the petitioner is not entitled to any relief.
5. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

June 12, 2020
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>No/Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No/Yes</i>