

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**IOIN-RSA-2384-1997 in/and RSA-2384-1997
Date of Decision:-09.01.2020**

Mool Raj

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Bhagat Ram Sagli Ram Bhardwaj and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None.

ARUN MONGA J.(Oral)

On 22.7.2019, the following order was passed by co-ordinate
Bench of this Court :-

“As per office report, despite information to learned counsel for the appellant about the date fixed, none has put in appearance on his behalf.

Notices issued to respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 5 have been received back unserved with the report that they are not residing at the given address. Notices issued to respondents No. 6 and 7, have been received back with the report that they are married now and residing with in-laws, whereas notice issued to respondent No. 3 received back with the report that he had died.

Let notices be again issued to respondents No. 1, 2 and 4 to 7 for 09.01.2020, through ordinary process, registered post and dasti as well, on furnishing of their correct addresses, process-fee, requisite number of

copies of paper-book, registered covers and incidental expenses, if any, within a period of two weeks' from today.

In case of non-compliance of this order in its letter and spirit, this appeal would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.”

It was specifically observed in that order that in case of non-compliance of the order, the appeal would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.

As per office report, notices could not be issued as counsel has not furnished the correct address of the respondents No.1, 2 and 4 to 7. Hence, the order dated 22.7.2019 is not complied with. Even there is no representation of the counsel on behalf of appellant also.

Hence, the present RSA-2384-1997 is dismissed for want of prosecution.

January 09, 2020
Vijay Asija

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No