

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15109 of 2020

Date of Decision: June 15, 2020

Manpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 21.12.2019 (Annexure P-2) whereby he has been declared proclaimed offender as well as FIR No. 94 dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Jalandhar under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 13 of the Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014 on the basis of compromise dated 19.03.2020 (Annexure P-3)

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1.

Mr. Sanjeev Virk, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 admits the factum of compromise.

The allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner and his co-accused took money from the complainant for sending his brother-in-law to Canada.

However, they failed to do so. A perusal of compromise dated 19.03.2020

(Annexure P-3) shows that the parties have resolved their differences amicably and that the complainant does not wish to pursue prosecution any longer.

Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate on 22.06.2020 and seek regular bail. In case such an application is filed, the trial Court/Duty Magistrate is directed to allow the same. It is further directed that if the petitioner complies with this condition, FIR No. 94 dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Navi Baradar, District Jalandhar under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 13 of the Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014, and subsequent proceedings shall stand quashed.

June 15, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No