

CRM-M-29110-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.02.2020.

Amrik Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Sumit Kalyan, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that respondent No.4 had submitted a false complaint against him and accordingly, he has submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.3.

In the reply, it has been mentioned that an inquiry has been conducted by ASI Jatinder Kumar, who has concluded that a civil litigation between the parties is pending in the Court at Patiala and an order with regard to maintenance of status quo has already been passed. It has been further reported that there is no danger or threat to the petitioner. The report has been agreed upon by the Station House Officer of Police Station Tripuri, Patiala and further approved by respondent No.3.

Furthermore, seven criminal cases of serious nature, as mentioned in para 5 of the reply, have been registered against the petitioner. In three cases, the petitioner has been acquitted and in two case, he has been convicted besides two cases are pending trial.

The representation of the petitioner has already been considered by respondent No.3. Report dated 07.03.2019 (Annexure R-3/T) also indicates that it shall not be appropriate to take any action on the application and both the parties had been directed to pursue their case before the Court and the recommendation has also been made to file a complaint.

As grievance of the petitioner, as projected through representation (Annexure P-4), has already been met and dealt with by the competent authority, no further action is called for.

Disposed of.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

11.02.2020.
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No