

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.204-a

**CRM-M-15081-2020**

**DATE OF DECISION: August 10, 2020**

**JASKIRAT KAUR**

**...PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**...RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.73, dated 13.03.2020 registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, under Sections 306 and 34 IPC.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwant Singh submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant states that the petitioner is not entitled to grant of anticipatory bail because of circumstances preceding the death of Gurpreet Singh. The inquest report indicates that ink was found on the right thumb of the deceased. Just before his death, the petitioner had got his property transferred in her name and in the name of her son and had left the matrimonial house. All this indicates that deceased Gurpreet Singh was being harassed by the petitioner to force him to transfer his property in her name. Once, the aim was achieved, she broke off all

relationship with deceased Gurpreet Singh leading to death of Gurpreet Singh (deceased).

4. Strained relations between a husband and his wife are not so rare. A wife may feel wronged by the actions of her husband and may demand a share in his property to secure her interests. The action of demanding the husband's property in itself cannot justify the charge of abetment of suicide. In any case, the evidence is documentary in nature and is already available with the investigating agency. There is no requirement of custodial interrogation.

5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 15.06.2020, is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, by him.

**August 10, 2020**

*Ankur*

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes*

*Whether Reportable*

*No*