

230.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28069-2017

Date of decision: 08.01.2020.

NAVPREET KAUR

.... Petitioner

versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, Addl.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. making numerous prayers including issuance of direction to the Investigating Agency-respondent No.1 to produce inquiry report dated 03.07.2012 (Annexure P-3), statements of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-4). Prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to the trial Court to conduct further investigation by exercising powers under Section 173(8) of Cr.P.C. and to frame appropriate charges under Sections 376, 354B, 511 of IPC.

No one is present on behalf of the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of UT, Chandigarh, on instructions from Inspector Manjit Singh, has informed this Court that an impression was given to the court that as if the judicial file is missing. The trial Court has already proceeded in the matter and the

evidence in the case has been concluded and the case is now fixed for 13.01.2020 for final arguments.

In view of the status of trial apprised by Mr. Sukant Gupta, learned counsel for UT, Chandigarh, this Court finds that the prayers made in the present petition no more survive and accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

08.01.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No