

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9787 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.02.2020

Ramesh Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Legal Service Authority and others

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.3 to 5.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Vide appointment letter dated 05.03.1999 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner was appointed as Clerk in the office of Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Karnal. Thereafter, he continued to work pursuant to the extension order dated 29.05.1999 (Annexure P-2). Thereafter, vide order dated 18.05.2004 (Annexure P-4), the petitioner was posted as Chowkidar in Sessions Court, Kaithal in place of Shri Sham Sunder, Chowkidar, who had proceeded on earned leave w.e.f. 28.02.2004 to 07.08.2004. In the petition, it is not specifically stated as to where he remained posted thereafter. Now, he has approached this Court seeking direction to the respondents not to remove him from the job, on which, he is working since 1999.

Upon notice, separate written statements on behalf of respondents

have been filed. Stand taken by the respondents is that the petitioner has himself stated that after 13.03.2005, he has not worked with the department and this petition has been filed in the year 2019 seeking direction not to remove him from the job.

This petition has been filed after a gap of almost 14 years. Keeping in view that this gap is not being disputed by the petitioner himself, no direction can be given to the respondents to appoint or retain him in service.

No merits. Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

17.02.2020
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No