

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 14221 of 1997
Date of decision: 28.01.2020

Naurata Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/s.

U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - None for the petitioners.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Ms. Rekha Luhach, Advocate for
Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (Oral)

No representation for the petitioners or their learned counsel.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, learned counsel appearing for respondent
Nos.1 and 2, would point out that the issue raised in this writ petition would
no longer survive for consideration inasmuch as it related to re-instatement
of a Sarpanch, respondent No.3, in the year 1997.

That is perhaps the reason why there is no representation for
the petitioners or their learned counsel.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution

No order as to costs.

28.01.2020

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking

:

Yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

:

Yes/no