

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15170-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.06.2020

Vikas Sharma @ Vicky

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking benefit of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.16 dated 20.01.2020 registered under Sections 307, 323, 506, 341, 148, 149 I.P.C at Police Station, City Rajpura, Rajpura.

Having heard counsel for the parties at length, this Court is of the considered view that the concession of bail cannot be granted to the petitioner, at this stage.

The FIR has been registered in relation to an occurrence dated 19.1.2020. Injured is Sushil Kumar. As per prosecution version the injured was encircled in front of a railway station. In so far as the present petitioner is concerned, he is stated to have inflicted an iron rod blow on the head of the injured and which has attracted offence under Section 307 I.P.C.

Even otherwise petitioner is stated to be a habitual offender and involved in a number of other criminal proceedings the details of which

have been mentioned in para 4 of the order dated 24.3.2020 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala while declining benefit of bail.

I find sufficient merit in the contention raised by learned State counsel that in case petitioner is enlarged on bail, he would make an attempt to intimidate the material witnesses and as such, hamper the course of a free and fair trial.

At this stage, an additional submission made by learned State counsel is also taken note that while the injured was seeking treatment in a hospital, the petitioner here is alleged to have gone there and caused an injury to one Rajender Kumar.

For the reasons recorded above, the concession of bail is denied to the petitioner.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

30.06.2020

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No