

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15064-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.06.2020

Santosh @ Mukesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vikas Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner seeks regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.56, dated 09.11.2019, under Sections 302/307/452/120-B/148/149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Bahu Akbarpur, District Rohtak.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered in relation to an occurrence dated 09.11.2019 in which one Amit lost his life on account of fire arm injuries. Wife of the deceased Savita also suffered gun shot injuries.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, no overt act/injury is attributed to her

Petitioner has been roped in on the strength of Section 120-B IPC on the allegation that she was part of the larger conspiracy to eliminate

the deceased.

Petitioner has faced incarceration since 19.11.2019.

Investigation in the case is complete and challan was presented on 17.02.2020.

Trial is at the very initial stage. Keeping in view the current Covid-19 situation, the trial is bound to be a prolonged affair.

Petitioner is a lady, aged 55 years.

Without making any observations on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Rohtak.

Disposed of.

29.06.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |