

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15197-2020

Date of Decision :30.06.2020

Akash

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail during the pendency of trial to the petitioner in case FIR No.224 dated 08.02.2020 under Sections 379-B, 506 & 307 IPC registered at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.
3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, who is a young boy of 19 years of age has been falsely implicated in the aforementioned case and at best the allegations against him are of having given beating to the victim, whereas the knife blow and snatching of money is attributed to other co-accused.
4. Learned counsel further contends that the investigation is complete, challan was filed on 22.04.2020 and the case is now fixed for framing of charge. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not

of the prevailing corona virus pandemic, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petition in custody since the trial of the case is likely to take considerable period of time.

5. Learned DAG has fairly conceded that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

6. In view of the position as noted above, as also the fact that the challan has already been presented and charges are yet to be framed besides the trial shall take considerable period of time to conclude on account of the covid-19 pandemic conditions prevailing in the country, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Accordingly, the petition for bail is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned CJM/Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. However, nothing stated hereinabove would be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.06.2020
Rajneesh/Rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No