

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M No.28883 of 2018
Date of Decision.20.02.2020**

Rishi Chawla

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sudha, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition that has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.63 dated 28.05.2018 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 354-A, 313, 506, 34 IPC (Section 354-A & 313 IPC deleted later on) at Police Station Women Cell, District Rohtak.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that pursuant to the previous order, he has joined investigation and therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim bail, as all recoveries have been made and the vehicle is already with the complainant.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, on instructions of Investigating Officer, submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation, however, recovery of some of the dowry articles is yet to be made.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. There is plethora of judgments to the effect that bail cannot be denied only on account of the fact that recoveries are yet to be made.

6. In view of the fact that the petitioner herein has already joined the investigation and got recovered most of the dowry articles, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the order dated 13.07.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 20, 2020
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No