

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15026-2020

Date of decision:13.10.2020

Subhash alias Channi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana for the
respondent-State.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.114 dated 08.09.2017 registered under Sections 148,149,302,458,323,285,460,120-B IPC and 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Nathusari Chopta, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the other co-accused have been granted regular bail by the Coordinate Benches of this Court and that the petitioner also deserves the same concession on the ground of parity. Moreover, it is contended that the petitioner has been behind the bars since 30.01.2018; that the trial is going on a snail's pace and that out of 43 witnesses, only 10 witnesses have been examined so far.

Learned State counsel on the instructions of Sh. Anil Kumar, S.I. states that the petitioner cannot be allowed to invoke the parity ground with the co-accused for the reason that he has been involved in as many as

25 other cases involving the offences of abduction, criminal assault, dacoity, attempt to murder, offences under Arms Act etc. It is further contended that keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, releasing him on regular bail, will be a danger to the society at large. He has, however, not disputed the custody period of the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Though, the co-accused of the petitioner have been granted regular bail by the Coordinate Benches of this Court, yet in my opinion, the petitioner does not deserve grant of such concession. As pointed out by the learned State counsel and not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner, there are 25 other cases against the petitioner involving serious crimes. Thus, if enlarged on bail, he may further involve himself in such like crimes being a habitual offender.

No ground for grant of regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

13.10.2020

ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No