

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRWP-3643-2020 (O&M)
Decided on : 31.07.2020**

Sakshi & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Ms.Rachna Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr.Vishal Goel, Advocate, for the complainant.

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

In the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek directions to provide protection to the life and liberty from the private respondents No. 4 to 10, who are relatives of petitioner No.1 on account of their marriage which has taken place on 08.06.2020 as per Hindu Rites and Rituals. The same is stated to be an intercaste marriage and thus, there is opposition to the same. The photographs of the marriage are annexed as Annexures P-3 & P-4.

The petitioners are stated to be major, as the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 04.03.2000 as per photocopy of the AADHAR Card, appended as Annexure P-1 and the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 27.02.1999 as per photocopy of the AADHAR Card, appended as Annxure P-2.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214 CRWP-3643-2020 (O&M)

-2-

On earlier occasions, petitioners could not come forth and today, in pursuance of the directions issued on an earlier occasions, Shri Gurpratap Singh, Inspector, Police Station Lahori Gate, District Patiala, produced petitioner No.1 by way of Video Conferencing. She has interacted with the Court and also conveyed through the said respondent that she is living with petitioner No.2 on her own sweet will and she apprehends threat at the instance of the private-respondents. She is hail and hearty, which was the concern of the Court, on earlier occasions.

A representation dated 08.06.2020 (Annexure P-5) has already been filed with respondents No.2 & 3, in the regard of protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Accordingly, keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have got married and in view of the binding precedent of the ***Hon'ble Apex Court in Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another 2006(2) SCC (Criminal) 478***, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to look into the above said representation filed by the petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law and provide protection, if so required.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

JULY 31, 2020
sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No