

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-14882-2020

Date of decision: 01.07.2020

Rambir

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)**

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner-Rambir who is stated to be aged 41 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.51 dated 27.02.2019, under Sections 120-B, 403, 406, 409, 420, 465, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station City Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel for the petitioner based on pleadings submits that a Civil Suit was preferred by the Bank against M/s Shri Ram Enterprises and the petitioner before Ld. Civil Judge, Senior Division, Charkhi Dadri. Learned counsel further submits that the said Civil Suit was decreed vide judgment and decree dated 27.09.2017.

On a question put by the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner

submits that the decree is for Rs.8 lacs or more approximately. He further submits that the challan has been presented on 28.04.2020. The charges are yet to be framed. He, then submits that due to the present COVID-19 situation and also the fact that trial is likely to take some time, detention of the petitioner in jail is dangerous to his life. Therefore, petitioner is entitled for regular bail.

In view of the peculiar facts as noticed above, considering the existing situation due to COVID-19 and the fact that trial is likely to take some time, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds. However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression on merits of the case. The petitioner is directed to get an FDR made in his own name for Rs.4 lacs for a period of two years and give an undertaking that the same shall not be encashed or submit papers of immovable property worth Rs.4 lacs before the Duty Magistrate/Trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

01.07.2020

*jyoti3*

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No