

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

205

CRM-M-14792-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.08.2020

VEER SINGH @ PRINCE

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. SS Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.331 dated 17.12.2019 registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot for offence punishable under Sections 307, 323, 341, 382, 148 and 149 IPC, pending trial.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that FIR was registered against unknown persons. It is further argued that petitioner is in custody for the past more than 07 months but investigation is yet to be completed. He would further argue that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner nor any recovery was effected from him. He would further submit that case under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 was registered against him on the same very day in which he has been released on bail.

Counsel representing State of Punjab has conceded to factual submissions made by counsel for the petitioner but opposed the prayer for bail. However, in response to a query, he would fairly inform that injury(s) found on the person of victim(s) are simple in nature.

The petitioner has been remanded to judicial custody, therefore, no longer required for the purpose of investigation. Conclusion of investigation and thereafter that of trial subsequent to presentation of challan is likely to take its own time. There is no allegation that petitioner does not have a permanent place of stay or likely to flee from process of justice, in case enlarged on bail.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case,

bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Additional Sessions Judge (on duty), Faridkot.

However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

(i)He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(ii)He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

04.08.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No