

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27650-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.01.2020

Anil Jain and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Supriya Arora, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No.426 dated 29.11.2015, under Sections 406, 467, 471, 468 and 120-B IPC, registered with Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula, on the basis of compromise dated 17.05.2017.

At the very outset, learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the complainant is not willing to make statement giving his consent for quashing of the FIR, since the petitioners/accused have not complied with the terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, controverts this thing vehemently. Nevertheless since the complainant is not willing to make statement giving his consent for quashing of the FIR, this petition cannot proceed further and the same stands dismissed.

08.01.2020

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No