

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14675 of 2020  
Date of Decision:06.07.2020**

**ARJU SAINI @ AJU SAINI**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**..Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.  
for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.73 dated 23.04.2020, registered under  
Sections 363, 366 and 366-A IPC, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Sangrur,  
District Sangrur.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner learned counsel  
submitted that the petitioner is a young man of 20 years of age; he was  
arrested in May 2020; the challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been filed  
and therefore he is no longer required for investigation purposes; there is no  
other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved and that the trial is  
likely to take a long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel opposes for grant of regular bail on the ground that the petitioner has performed his marriage with the elder daughter of the complainant and there are also allegations against him in respect of another daughter of the complainant who is a minor.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is young man of about 20 years; he is not involved in any other criminal case; the challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been filed; he is in custody since May 2020; the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude and the petitioner has placed on record the photographs showing his marriage with the elder daughter of the complainant which was against the wishes of the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in further incarceration of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Sangrur, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 06, 2020**

*Jyoti-1* Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No